

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,140	ENGELHARDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANK W. LU	1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FRANK W. LU, (3) Dr. James Donegan.

(2) Ms. Cheryl Agris (Reg. 34,086), (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 91-105, 107, 108 and 110-123.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent No. 5,591,601.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Agris, Mr. Donegan and the examiner discussed the claimed invention, the office action mailed on May 23, 2008 and possible claim amendments. Ms. Agris will file the response to the office action mailed on May 23, 2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.